Writing Actionable Alerts

While you get settled...

Download Latest Slides:

https://splunk.box.com/v/burch-alerts

or ask a neighbor with flash drive

Related Blog:

Search: blogs.splunk.com writing actionable alerts

http://blogs.splunk.com/2016/01/29/writing-actionablealerts

Load Feedback:





Writing Actionable Alerts

Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh) /5.0.375.38 Safari/533.4 CW-01&JSESSIONID=SD6SL6F

Burch Sales Engineer @ Splunk

.conf2016

.1" ABA 3322 "http://butt

splunk>

Disclaimer

During the course of this presentation, we may make forward looking statements regarding future events or the expected performance of the company. We caution you that such statements reflect our current expectations and estimates based on factors currently known to us and that actual events or results could differ materially. For important factors that may cause actual results to differ from those contained in our forward-looking statements, please review our filings with the SEC. The forward-looking statements made in the this presentation are being made as of the time and date of its live presentation. If reviewed after its live presentation, this presentation may not contain current or accurate information. We do not assume any obligation to update any forward looking statements we may make. In addition, any information about our roadmap outlines our general product direction and is subject to change at any time without notice. It is for informational purposes only and shall not, be incorporated into any contract or other commitment. Splunk undertakes no obligation either to develop the features or functionality described or to include any such feature or functionality in a future release.



What's a Burch?

- Senior Sales Engineer in Boston
- Education
 - CS @ Boston University
 - MBA @ Northeastern University
- Splunk Customer
 - Middleware for 8 years (+splunk)
 - Splunk Admin for 1.5 years (splunk 4.3+)
- Certs: Knowledge, Admin, Architect
- @Splunk since Dec 14
- Splunkbase apps





About you

- Name
- User?
- Power User?
- Admin?
- Groupie?





Burch's Goal

From spam to glam with Splunk Alerts



eval Agenda = "Maturity Model"

- Stage 1: Message of Concern
- Stage 2: Thresholds
- Stage 3: Relative Percentages
- Stage 4: Average Errors
- Stage 5: Percentiles
- Bonus Stage 6: IT Service Intelligence
- Stage 7: Actionable Alerts



Stage 1

Message of Concern



Attempted Solution

• Created spammy alert:

```
[Spam]
action.email = 1
action.email.priority = 1
action.email.to = welovespam@spam.com
counttype = number of events
cron_schedule = */15 * * * *
dispatch.earliest_time = -15min
dispatch.latest_time = now
enableSched = 1
quantity = 0
relation = greater than
search = index=_internal error
```

Weak search definition



Result

index=_internal error	Last	15 minutes ~ Q
✓ 6,500 events (7/5/16 12:53:20.000 PM to	7/5/16 1:08:20.000 PM) No Event Sampling 🗸 👌 🕹 🛓	¶ Smart Mode √
Events (6,500) Patterns St	tistics Visualization	
Format Timeline V — Zoom Out +	coom to Selection × Deselect	1 minute per column
Hide Ids Selected Fir a data.task 2 a host 13	<pre>is format ></pre>	2 9 n High 3 55 ntt ://s 20 ndexx3D_imerna 72acbf34c55bc441f0
a source 15 a sourcetype 11 Interesting Fields a app 100+ a component 20	<pre>> 7/5/16 2016-07-05 13:08:00.067 ERROR pid=2084 tid=MainThread file=util.py:_call_:153 Failed to execute function=run, error=Traceback (most recent call last): 1:08:00.067 PM File "/opt/splunk/etc/apps/Splunk_TA_aws/bin/splunktalib/common/util.py", line 150, incall return func(*args, *tkwargs) File "/opt/splunk/etc/apps/Splunk_TA_aws/bin/aws_cloudwatch.py", in run acconf.AWSCloudWatchConf, "aws_cloudwatch", logger) Show all 12 lines host=wicket i source = /opt/splunk/var/log/splunk/splunk_ta_aws_cloudwatch_main.log i sourcetype = aws:cloudwatch:log</pre>	
# date_noid * # date_minute 16 # date_month 1 # date_second 60 a date_wday 1 # date_year 1	<pre>> 7/5/16 2016-07-05 13:08:00,067 ERROR pid=2084 tid=MainThread file=util.py:_call_:153 Failed to execute function=run, error=Traceback (most recent call last): File "/opt/splunk/etc/apps/Splunk_TA_aws/bin/splunktalib/common/util.py", line 150, in _call_ return func(*args, **kwargs) File "/opt/splunk/etc/apps/Splunk_TA_aws/bin/aws_cloudwatch.py", line 92, in run acconf.AWSCloudWatchTof, ows_cloudwatch", loner) Show all 12 lines</pre>	
<pre># date_zone 3 a eventtype 5 a file 60 a index 1 # linecount 6 a log_level 3 a message 100+ a punct 100+ a splunk_server 3 a tag 1 a tag:=venttype 1 # timeendpos 9 # timeendpos 9</pre>	<pre>> /5/1 20 min 13 for 13 for 13 for 13 for 13 for 13 for 14 f</pre>	
	<pre>> 7/5/16 2016-07-05 13:08:00,067 ERROR pid=2084 tid=MainThread file=util.py:_call:153 Failed to execute function=run, error=Traceback (most recent call last): 1:08:00.067 PM File "/opt/splunk/etc/apps/Splunk_TA_aws/bin/splunktalib/common/util.py", line 150, incall return func(*args, **kwargs) File "/opt/splunk/etc/apps/Splunk_TA_aws/bin/aws_cloudwatch.py", line 92, in run acconf.AWSCloudWatchConf, "aws_cloudwatch", logger) Show all 12 lines host = wicket source = /opt/splunk/var/log/splunk_ta_aws_cloudwatch_main.log sourcetype = aws:cloudwatch.log</pre>	



Obvious Improvements

- Scope of problem is large
 - Solution: indexed fields (index, source, sourcetype, and/or pattern)

- Problem: "error" matches more than desired
 - Solution: bind with fields like log_level="error"
- Result: Stronger search ignores benign results

index=_internal sourcetype=splunkd source!="*splunkforwarder*" log_level=ERROR



Stage 2

Thresholds



Attempted Solution

- Only alert if more than "arbitrary" # occurrences / time
 - Arbitrary = perception of healthy

```
index=_internal sourcetype=splunkd source!="*splunkforwarder*" log_level=ERROR
  | stats count
  | where count>20
```

```
or...
```

Alert

Condition

if number of events	\$

\$

is greater than

20



Result & Obvious Improvements

- Ignores volume variances of different types of errors
 - Web errors rarely happen but server errors happen often
- Fluctuations relative to usage
 - Threshold too small or large during peak or minimal usage, respectively
 - Static thresholds not adjusting with business growth or decline





Stage 3

Relative Percentages



New Concept

eval goal_attacking = coalesce(spam, system)

SPAM

SYSTEM

- Normalize against # of errors
- Ignore non error events
- log_level=ERROR
- Good for clean up
- Bad for permanent

- Normalize to all events
- Include all error + non error events
- log_level=*
- Good for permanent
- Bad for clean up



Attempted Solution



component 0	count 0	error_count 🌣
DeployedServerclass	936	936
ExecProcessor	488	486



Result & Obvious Improvements

- Huge improvement
 - Less spam
 - Adjusts because normalized to volume
- What if that's normal?
 - Then persistent alerts that should be ignored = spam + noise!
- Arbitrary static percentages



Stage 4

Average Errors



Attempted Solution

Current period vs historical average

<pre>index=_internal sourcetype=splunkd source!="*/splunkforwarder/* bin span=5min _time stats count by _time, component stats latest(count) as current_count, avg(count) as historic</pre>	Last 7 days ∽	Q		
<pre> where current_count > historical_count</pre>	ampling 🗸 🕴 Job 🗸 🔢	■ ~ ♣ ↓	• Smart M	lode 🗸
Events Patterns Statistics (13) Visualization				
10 Per Page 🗸 🖌 Format 🗸 Preview 🗸		<	Prev 1 2	Next >
component 🗘	current_count 🗘		historical_	_count 🗘
AdminHandler:PersistMessages	16	•	8	.500000
Application	30		10	.000000
ApplicationUpdater	77		27	.333333
ArobiyaContaxt	6		Δ	666667
		S	plunk> .co	nf2016

Result

• Adjusts with changes in environment!

- Slow
 - Summary Indexing?
 - Acceleration?
- How often alert?
 - Definition of average!



Hold Up!

Statistics Detour



Historical # of errors / 5 min period







0 to 10 | 11 to 20 | 21 to 29 | 30 to 39 | 40 to 49 | 50 to 59 | 60 to 69 | 70 to 79 | 80 to 89 | 90 to 99

.conf2016

splunk>

At what value does this become actionable? Min Average Max 18 19 87 31 11 67 77 56 21 0 to 10 | 11 to 20 | 21 to 29 | 30 to 39 | 40 to 49 | 50 to 59 | 60 to 69 | 70 to 79 | 80 to 89 | 90 to 99

5

.conf2016 splunk>

What if we could skim off outliers?



splunk > .conf2016

perc<X>(Y) = Returns the X-th percentile value of the numeric field Y, where X is an integer between 1 and 99. The percentile X-th function sorts the values of Y in an increasing order. Then, if you consider that 0% is the **lowest** and 100% the **highest**, the functions picks the value that corresponds to the position of the X% value. 18 19 31 87 67 56 77 0 to 10 | 11 to 20 | 21 to 29 | 30 to 39 | 40 to 49 | 50 to 59 | 60 to 69 | 70 to 79 | 80 to 89 | 90 to 99

5



0 to 10 | 11 to 20 | 21 to 29 | 30 to 39 | 40 to 49 | 50 to 59 | 60 to 69 | 70 to 79 | 80 to 89 | 90 to 99

splunk> .conf2016





Warning: Assumption



Shout out to Xander!



Warning: Heavy Tails





Warning: Reality



What percentile is appropriate given this distribution?



Know Thy Data

```
index=_internal sourcetype=splunkd
source!="*/splunkforwarder/*"
| bin span=5min _time
| stats count AS group by _time
| bin span=1000 group
| stats count by group
| sort group
```



splunk>

Stage 5

Percentiles



Attempted Solution

- Current period's error rate vs. historical error rate
 - by error category (component)

```
index=_internal sourcetype=splunkd source!="*/splunkforwarder/*"
log_level=ERROR
| bin span=5min _time
| stats count by _time, component
| stats perc95(count) AS perc95_count, latest(count) AS
current_count by component
| where current count > perc95 count
```

• Performance?



Summary Indexing Solution

• Generate malleable historical data

```
index=_internal sourcetype=splunkd source!="*/splunkforwarder/*"
log_level=ERROR
| bin span=5min time
```

```
sistats count by time, component
```

• Alert upon historical data

```
index=summary_internal sourcetype=stash source="my search name"
  | stats count by _time, component
  | stats perc95(count) AS perc95_count, latest(count) AS
  current_count by component
```



The Lasso Approach

Triage Strategy

• Perimeter around errors

• Tighten lasso by reducing percentile

• Rinse & repeat





Alternatives

- Address most common errors first
 - Start at 5th percentile and work up

- Normalization Frames:
 - Same errors
 - All errors
 - All events
 - Time windows (e.g. work hours)



Result

Adjusts with changes in environment!

- Requires Maintenance
 - Power User skillz
 - Summary Indexing
- Not period time adjusted
 - Fluctuations in business day or period



Bonus Stage 6

IT Service Intelligence



Why ITSI?

Make **alerting** accessible, usable and valuable to everyone!



Quantile, Range, and STDDEV. Oh my!

Preview Aggregate Thresholds





splunk> .conf2016

Adaptive Thresholds





Anomaly Detection



splunk> .conf2016

Stage 7

Actionable Alerts



Actionable Alerts Made Easy





What Now?

Related breakout sessions and activities...

- Rate this! (be honest)
- More talks:
 - conf.splunk.com/speakers.html
 - Search for
 - Burch
 - Champagne
 - Optimization
 - Practices
 - tips
 - Worst





Free Discussion

Questions, ideas, experiences ...have you?

splunk>

.conf2016

THANK YOU



