Forward-Looking Statements

During the course of this presentation, we may make forward-looking statements regarding future events or the expected performance of the company. We caution you that such statements reflect our current expectations and estimates based on factors currently known to us and that actual events or results could differ materially. For important factors that may cause actual results to differ from those contained in our forward-looking statements, please review our filings with the SEC.

The forward-looking statements made in this presentation are being made as of the time and date of its live presentation. If reviewed after its live presentation, this presentation may not contain current or accurate information. We do not assume any obligation to update any forward looking statements we may make. In addition, any information about our roadmap outlines our general product direction and is subject to change at any time without notice. It is for informational purposes only and shall not be incorporated into any contract or other commitment. Splunk undertakes no obligation either to develop the features or functionality described or to include any such feature or functionality in a future release.

Splunk, Splunk>, Listen to Your Data, The Engine for Machine Data, Splunk Cloud, Splunk Light and SPL are trademarks and registered trademarks of Splunk Inc. in the United States and other countries. All other brand names, product names, or trademarks belong to their respective owners. © 2017 Splunk Inc. All rights reserved.
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Why Try Open Source?

- **Frictionless**
  - No salesperson will call
  - Prove use case before investing
  - Deploy without management cycles:
    - No budget or procurement issues
    - No contracts or legal back and forth

- **Development Use Cases**
  - Web, document, or product search engine
  - Sub-second response for application stack

- **Its FREE! Muah-ha-ha!**
  - Splunk seems cost-prohibitive
  - Don’t want to or can’t budget for Splunk
  - Open Source seems “good enough”
  - Spend on development, not license

- **Open Source Orientation**
  - Organizational Open Source Initiative
  - Open Source or Build culture
Why Try Open Source?

Developers
- Shiny new toy
- New training & skills
- Job security
- Resume building

Managers
- No software budget, lots of developers
- Deploy without management cycles
- Shift Capex (license) to Opex (salaries)
- More staff & HW = bigger budget & title

VP & C-Level
- Open Source Initiatives
- What everyone remembers: “Use Open Source First”
- What everyone forgets: “Use the most appropriate solution for the business”
Production Interviews

▶ Dozens of deployments from 20GB/day to 10’s of TB/day
▶ 100’s of pilot deployments

User Conference Interviews

▶ 3 Elastic{ON} User Conferences
▶ All machine data & security sessions
▶ Interviewed 100 Attendees per conference
OSS Customer Interviews: Key Takeaways

The Elastic Stack

▶ ‘Sweet spot’ server: 8 x 64, 6TB SSD
  • Avg. 25 GB/day per data node
  • Avg. compression 300%
▶ 1TB/day and up: 6-18 month deploy
  • Multiple clusters for large use cases
  • 90% deploy EMB (kafka, redis, MQ)
  • Additional datastore (Hadoop)
▶ Parsing at index time – slow and fragile
▶ Limited visualization – Some DIY
▶ Development backlogs are common

Splunk (for comparison)

▶ 12 x 12, any disk, 800+ IOPS
  • 300 GB/day per search peer (data node)
  • Avg. compression 50%
▶ 1TB/day and up: deploy in weeks
  • Single cluster to 1+ PB/day
  • EMB not required
  • No additional datastore required
▶ Parsing at search time – fast and stable
▶ Rich visualization OOTB, extensible
▶ Development backlogs are rare
Why So Much Storage?

JSON format, index every field, redundant "message", "_source", & "_all" fields.

Splunk: 297 chars, 1 index, 1 TB raw = ½ TB on disk

ELK: 1910 chars, 56 indexes, 1 TB raw = 4.8 TB on disk (including GeoIP & Identity data)

Splunk Data is enriched at search time
No extra data is stored or indexed!

Want to enrich ELK data?

Green: Original syslog event
Orange: Identity data added
Red: GeoIP data added

© 2017 SPLUNK INC.
Why So Much Storage?
Storage optimization – at what cost?

**Recommendations:**

- Delete the original "message" field
- Disable the "_all" field
- Disable the "_source" field
- Set optimal index/analyze options in schema for each data source
- Use best_compression option to reduce disk space

**Which means:**

- Affects Compliance & Debug Uses
- No Full-Text Search Capabilities
- Disables Update API, Highlighting, & Reindex API
- Not practical for deployments with 100s – 1000s of data sources
- More infrastructure required to maintain performance
Why So Many Servers?

1 TB/day for 90 days – 635 Servers?!

Experts pointed us to these hosting services for best practices:
1TB/day, 90 days retention, 350% raw/disk ratio, 3 total copies of data = 945,000 GB total disk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Elastic.co</th>
<th>Qbox</th>
<th>Compose.io (IBM)</th>
<th>ObjectRocket</th>
<th>Splunk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Disk</td>
<td>945,000</td>
<td>945,000</td>
<td>945,000</td>
<td>945,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB Mem / GB Disk</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total GB Memory</td>
<td>40,635</td>
<td>47,250</td>
<td>94,500</td>
<td>118,125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Servers @ 64GB/node</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>1,476</td>
<td>1,845</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Elasticsearch Java Garbage Collection (GC)

Multi-day benchmark demonstrates GC issues

Healthy GC Pattern

GC Affecting Performance

Risk of “stop the world” GC node restarts and crashes
“You can't know your workload until you’ve run in production for a while. You'll have to iterate 2 or 3 times before you get the design right.”

“Don’t run Elasticsearch in the cloud… you don't know what CPU you’ll get. Xeon E5 v4 provides 60% better java performance than v3. Prepare to get into trouble with nodes popping out of the cluster like popcorn.”

“Stop the world" restarts: The main problem with Elasticsearch garbage collection is how it might enter “stop the world” mode in which the JVM becomes unresponsive until it is restarted.
"it’s basically impossible to troubleshoot your own AWS Elasticsearch cluster"

"making any change at all will double the size of the cluster and copy every shard… indexing and search to come to a screeching halt"

"AWS’s have the time, skills or context to diagnose non-trivial issues, so they will just... tell you to throw more hardware at the problem"

"hosting Elasticsearch on AWS... absolutely does not mean your cluster will be more stable"
Build vs. Buy Considerations
Build vs. Buy: 3 Considerations

▶ Time to Market
• Faster value with a solution vs. time required to build it
• Opportunity cost often ignored, may be the highest cost
• Not just the first deployment, expansion & maintenance

▶ Benefit Realization
• Future proof: Mature solutions deliver more value
• Reduce risks: Project, technical, support, IP, personal

▶ Total Cost of Ownership
• Open source software has costs
• Production OSS deployments often exceed Splunk cost
Benefit Realization: Business Value Assessment

Final deliverable provides an Executive Report with CxO Ready Business Case Analysis

**Proposed Solution**

- Splunk Enterprise
- xxx GB’s of data to be indexed
- Perpetual License
- Professional Services to assist with implementation
- Training for Admin and Power User

**Return on Investment**

- 3-Year Investment $2,580,000
- Initial Investment $2,020,000
- Cumulative Benefits $12,121,720
- 3-Year ROI 370%
- Payback (Months) 9 Months
- Net Present Value $7,640,166

**Proposed Investment For Future Value**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Development Costs</td>
<td>$1,400,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance Costs</td>
<td>$280,000</td>
<td>$280,000</td>
<td>$280,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support Costs</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$2,020,000</td>
<td>$280,000</td>
<td>$280,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Alignment with Key Goals
- Current Challenges
- Proposed Solution
- Adoption Speed
- Detailed Use Cases
- Benefit Calculations
- Investment Details
- ROI Analysis
## TCO Summary

### splunk>enterprise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure On-Premise</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software License &amp; Maintenance</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin Labor</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity Cost</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cumulative</strong></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Open Source (Elastic + Logstash + Kibana)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure On-Premise</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software License &amp; Maintenance</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin Labor</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity Cost</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cumulative</strong></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSS “Success Stories”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elastic{ON}15</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elasticsearch at Verizon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7 TB/day, 50 day retention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10+B events/day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 128: 8 x 64, 6TB Disk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 50: 24 x 256, 20TB Disk (hadoop)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Logstash, Message Bus &amp; other Servers not listed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Wrote their own UI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total: 178+ servers, 1.8 PB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Elastic{ON}16** |
| Security Analytics @ USAA |
| 1-2 TB/day, 30 day retention |
| 4.5B events/day |
| 7 Clusters, grouped by feed |
| • 60: 12 x 96, 12TB SSD |
| • 21 Master Nodes |
| • 16 Logstash Nodes |
| • 4 Kafka, 3 Zookeeper |
| • 192 TB SAN |
| • 1.6 PB other storage |
| Total: 104 servers, 2.5 PB |

| **Elastic{ON}17** |
| Optum’s Security Data Lake |
| 8* TB/day, 1 year retention |
| 3B events/day + enrichment |
| • 190 data nodes |
| • 360 hadoop nodes |
| • 550: 73.5 TB, 4.5 PB |
| Total: 550 servers, 4.5 PB |
What is the Splunk Build vs. Buy Workshop?

A customer meeting, where we:

- Discuss your Open Source build experience
- Translate your experience into actual metrics & costs
- Prepare a Build vs. Buy Total Cost of Ownership Model
- You validate the TCO Model
- We deliver a CFO-Ready Business Case
## Business Value Consulting Services

### Most Popular Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Source Analysis</th>
<th>Business Value Assessment</th>
<th>TCO Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Align</strong> data sources with key objectives and value drivers</td>
<td><strong>Quantify</strong> current and/or future value drivers</td>
<td><strong>Assess</strong> TCO for Cloud vs. On-Premises or Splunk vs. ELK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Success Stories</th>
<th>Value Roadmap</th>
<th>Center of Excellence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Document</strong> 2-3 real life value stories from your deployment</td>
<td><strong>Multi-Year Plan</strong> based on value and data sources</td>
<td><strong>Assess</strong> key roles, responsibilities and skills</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Appendix: Build vs. Buy Workshop Executive-Ready Business Case
Splunk vs. Open Source: 3 Considerations

1. **Time to Market**
   • Value is achieved faster with a platform vs. the time required to build it

2. **Benefit Realization**
   • A solution’s ability to produce proven customer success increases likelihood that benefits will be realized
   • A platform built from 10,000+ customers will yield more value than a solution built entirely from scratch

3. **Total Cost of Ownership**
   • Open source software is not free
   • Production deployments can easily exceed 4-10x Splunk cost
Consideration 1: Time to Market

- Value is achieved faster with a **purpose-built platform** vs. the time required to build it (even basic functions)
- **Pre-built apps** speeds deployment (SplunkBase has 1000+ apps)
- Time impacts how much value will be realized

**EXAMPLE:** Applying this consideration

- Assuming $1.2M/year of projected benefits from a deployment
- If Splunk takes 2 months to deploy, it delivers $1M of value in year 1
- If Open Source takes 10 months to deploy, it delivers $200k of value in year 1
- Assuming the same end result, Splunk delivers $800k MORE value in year 1
- TCO would show $800k as “lost opportunity cost” in the Open Source calculation
Real Example: Splunk vs. Open Source
From a Fortune 50 Telecommunications Company

Project: Executive dashboard for near real-time TV Programming Analytics

Open Source Build

Multiple open source solutions manually stitched together

Took 6 people 6 months’ effort

Modifications are small development projects

“Buy” w/Splunk

Splunk delivered in 92% less calendar time with 99% less effort

Took 1 person 2 weeks’ effort

Modifications are made by users on the fly
Consideration 2: Benefit Realization

**Splunk**
- 12,000+ production customers
- Vibrant user community
- 1000+ Splunk apps
- Proven customer success
- Documented benefit benchmarks

**Open Source**
- Unknown # of production customers
- Vibrant development community
- No pre-built app store
- No published benchmarks

**EXAMPLE: Applying this consideration**
- An IT Operations project is expected to reduce incident investigation time
- Splunk’s documented benchmarks show the customer will achieve 70-90% reduction
- Since all functionality must be built for Elastic Stack, it may not achieve the same benefit level
- In doing a TCO analysis this must be considered. It would be added as a “lost opportunity cost” to the Open Source calculation
Consideration 3: Total Cost Of Ownership

▶ Consider all the components of cost
  • It’s more than just license fees

▶ Evaluate production-grade deployments
  • Small side projects may hide true costs

▶ Scalability and efficiency impact infrastructure and admin costs
  • Hardware, people, etc.

▶ Different skill sets are required to build vs. configure
  • Highly compensated and scarce open source developers vs. general admins more widely available and affordable
There Are Many Components Of TCO

License costs are only one of them...

- Server, network, workstation hardware
- Software license
- Installation and integration
- Purchasing research
- Warranties and licenses
- License tracking – compliance
- Migration expenses
- Risks – vulnerabilities, upgrades, patches, failure
- Facility and power
- Testing costs
- Downtime, outage and failure expenses
- Diminished performance (users having to wait, etc.)
- Security (breaches, loss of reputation, recovery and prevention)
- Backup and recovery process
- Technology training
- Audit (internal and external)
- Insurance
- Technology staff
- Management time
- Replacement
- Future upgrade or scalability expenses
- Decommissioning
- ...
Realities of Production Grade Deployments
Considerations for platform selection – *Infrastructure, people, and time*

- Single platform and solution
- Rich, powerful query language
- Lower cost, available level 1 or 2 resources
- Architecture optimized for scale
- Community of pre-built ‘apps’
- Rapid time to value

- Multiple separate, open source products
- Limited query capabilities
- Highly paid, scarce, level 3 or 4 resources required
- Infrastructure costs at 5-10x Splunk
- Significant development effort required
- Lost opportunity cost due to slow time to market
Splunk vs. Open Source TCO Model
Full detailed comparison of Splunk vs. Open Source costs based on Customer’s numbers

▶ Hardware acquisition and maintenance
  • Servers, storage, load balancers, data center costs

▶ Software licensing and maintenance
  • Perpetual, subscription, including renewals

▶ Professional services
  • Implementation, configuration

▶ Splunk training / education
  • Includes ongoing recommendations

▶ Ongoing administration support
  • Sysadmin, architect, developer, power user, Splunk admin

▶ Opportunity Cost
Sample TCO Summaries

TCO for 3 Years
30 day retention

TCO for 3 Years
60 day retention

Splunk
OSS

$ - $30,000,000
200GB 1TB 5TB 10TB

$ - $30,000,000
200GB 1TB 5TB 10TB
This chart represents the 3 year benefits for Splunk vs ELK.
Security Matters

- Open source is community driven; source code is public
- Lack of true product management, software development and test/QA opens real vulnerabilities

"Hackers have taken an interest in Elasticsearch..."
Splunk vs. Open Source

Summary of the 3 considerations

**Splunk**
- **Time to value**
  - Realized in less than three months
- **Benefit realization**
  - Documented benchmarks and proven customer success
- **TCO:** $2,860,251

**Open Source**
- **Time to value**
  - Realized 6 to 12+ months
- **Benefit realization**
  - No published benchmarks or proven customer success
- **TCO:** $5,577,184
Thank You

Don't forget to rate this session in the .conf2017 mobile app